

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 0 4 2005

2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213 T 202.293.7060 F 202.293.7860

www.sughrue.com

FAX

Date August 4, 2005 To USPTO Of PTO Group Art Unit 2877 Fax 571-273-8300 From Seok-Won Stuart Lee Subject Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 Our Ref Q77912 Appln No 10/684,659 Conf No 8886 Inventors Akiharu FUKUDA, et al. Pages 6 (including cover sheet)

Please call attention to problems with this transmission by return fax or telephone. Thank you.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION IS CONFIDENTIAL, MAY BE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED, AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. UNAUTHORIZED USE, DISCLOSURE OR COPYING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND MAY BE UNLAWFUL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US.

This fax filing includes:

- 1. This cover sheet
- 2. Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Sir:

I hereby certify that the above identified correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to USPTO on August 4, 2005 at 571-273-8300.

Respectfully submitted

Seok-Won Stuart Lee Limited Recognition No. L0212

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 0 4 2005

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE GROUP 2877 PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q77912

Akiharu FUKUDA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/684,659

Group Art Unit: 2877

Confirmation No.: 8886

Examiner: Jacques M. Saint-Surin

Filed: October 15, 2003.

For:

ULTRASONIC WAVE UTILIZING DEVICE

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 4, 2005, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending in the application. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishimoto et al. (U.S. Patent 6,484,581; hereinafter "Nishimoto"). Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimoto. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base